## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,939	MATSUI, HIDEKI	
Examiner	A 4   1   ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VICTOR K. HWANG	3764	

	VICTOR K. HWANG	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.		
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO	
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianna with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two months	a af tha data af	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contains a first contains the contains a first	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be 「E below);	cause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		h = 1===== <b>6</b> ==	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)	
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mphane / monamone (		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8,9 and 11-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:	
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/LoAn H. Thanh/	Λ/ К Ц /			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3764	/V. K. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3764			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examinor, Art Offic 5704			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The step limitation in claim 11 "to stretch wrinkles of the skin from outside of the wrinkles" is a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search. Though claims 12 and 13 filed 12/12/2006 include the limitation of "sticking a stretch tape in a vicinity of said face portion", the new limitation is not of the same scope as the previous limitation.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended limitation in claim 11, "to stretch wrinkles of the skin from outside of the wrinkles" can be interpreted read upon stretching the wrinkles of the skin from above the surface of the skin, such as applying a stretch tape on top of the wrinkles because a tape on top of the wrinkles can still be considered to be outside of the wrinkles since it is not inside the wrinkles, such as by a chemical, surgical or other mechanism that stretches the wrinkles of the skin from inside the wrinkles. See JP 2002-045232 A disclosing a stretch tape for wrinkles, the tape comprising a stretchable base material of urethane nonwoven fabric and acrylic adhesive material.

Applicant's amendment, if entered, would have overcome the objection under 35 USC 132(a), the objection to the specification and the rejections under 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, and the rejections of claims 8 and 13-18 under 35 USC 103(a) over the prior art would be moot.